

Your View

Disagreeing with LGBT doesn't make us 'haters'

How disappointing it was to read Diana Nguyen's article (The Herald, June 29) where she repeated the LGBT's tired mantra that anyone not supporting their lifestyle is a "hater."

She even said our "minds have been poisoned" and argued that teaching our children to tolerate LGBT views is not enough — we must teach our kids to accept this lifestyle!

Hmmm, I recall when "toleration" was the Left's buzzword!

David Horowitz nailed such thinking when he noted, "Inside many liberals is a totalitarian

screaming to get out. They don't like to have another point of view in the room that they don't squash, and the way they try to squash it by character assassination and name calling."

Thus, we are called "haters." If you dare express a concern about Islam's struggles, you are Islamophobic. If you oppose unlimited immigration you are called "racists." If you don't endorse homosexuality you are called "homophobic," etc.

Nguyen also pushed the outlandish argument that disagreeing with the LGBT lifestyle is akin to

America's past injustice to blacks, as if some real parallel exists!

Ironically she also admiringly quotes Eleanor Roosevelt on being who you really are (How does that apply to transgenders?).

I'd suggest a different quote by Eleanor: "Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief."

For some reason, liberals like Nguyen only believe this right applies to them.

David Hodges
Richmond